


2.0 IDENTIFICATION OF SCEPTER, PORTABLE, FUEL CONTAINER “MAX FLO” PUMP




Photograph #1: Portable, Fuel Container “Max Flo” Pump



2.0 IDENTIFICATION OF SCEPTER PORTABLE FUEL CONTAINER & "MAX FLO" PUMP ATTACHMENT



Photograph #2: Full assembly of portable, fuel container with "Max Flo", pump attachment



2.0 IDENTIFICATION OF "MAX FLO" PUMP ATTACHMENT FOR PORTABLE FUEL CONTAINER

The product name was "Max Flo" Pump.

The product manufacturer was Scepter Corporation, 170 Midwest Road, Scarborough, Ontario.

The closure model was a "Flo n' Go".

The closure manufacturer was Scepter Corporation, 170 Midwest Road, Scarborough, Ontario.

There were two child-resistant closure mechanisms present on the container. Only the "Flo n' Go" pump was tested at this time.

The "Flo n' Go" pump consisted of four parts, namely a black nozzle, a black trigger, a black, trigger release button and the red housing.

The trigger and trigger release button were made of polyoxymethylene (polyacetal). The nozzle and housing were made of polyethylene.

There were no directions as to how to operate the pump.


The container, to which the pump was connected to by means of a rubber hose, was referred to as a portable, fuel container.

The container material was composed of red, blow-molded high density polyethylene.

The container had a net contents of 2.5 Gal./10L

The symbols, numbers, and letters found on the bottom of the container were as follows:

- Scepter
- HDPE, 2
- Made in Canada, November 19, 2008
- Non-metallic flammable liquid container classified in accordance with the standard specification for portable gasoline containers for consumer use.



3.0 CHILD PROTOCOL TESTING

Scepter Corporation performed the following preparation of the test containers by subjecting them to:

- Low-temperature exposure at 0°F (-17.8°C) for 8 hours
- Elevated temperature exposure at 140°F (60.8°C) for 8 hours
- Opening and closing of each closure for 250 cycles

CMTL prepared the test containers 72 hours prior to testing by half-filling them with water, attaching the pump and testing them to make sure that they worked.

The evaluation of the children's performance to open the child-resistant closure (trigger release button on pump) was performed on three different age groups.

The age groups were identified as follows:

- Group #1 - Children Between 51 and 49 Months (Total # of children: 15; Male: 7; Female: 8)
- Group #2 - Children Between 48 and 45 Months (Total # of children: 20; Male: 10; Female: 10)
- Group #3 - Children Between 44 and 42 Months (Total # of children: 15; Male: 6; Female: 9)

The children required documented parental consent prior to participation in the evaluation of the child-resistant closures and were selected from five (5) test sites with no more than 20% of the children being obtained from any given site.

The children were tested in pairs, in the presence of one of their teachers, in a well-lit, unused classroom.

The children received one 10L portable, fuel container, with a pump attached, for evaluation in order to determine the effectiveness of the child-resistant trigger release. The children were instructed to try and get the water to flow out of the pump nozzle using whatever method they liked and they were told that their attempts would be observed during a timed, maximum 5 minute period.

The children were not given the impression that they were taking part in a game or test and no rewards were offered. The tester only encouraged the children to continue trying if they lost interest or gave up trying.

If the children were unable to get the water to flow out of the pump nozzle after the maximum 5 minute period, the tester demonstrated, without verbal instruction using their own, demonstration container/pump assembly. The children were then allowed another 5 minute period in which to attempt to gain access to the contents.

The children were allowed to talk to each other, watch each other, but not open/gain access to each other's container.

If the child was able to operate the pump during the 1st test period, the tester said, "Thank you" and took the container away from the child. The child was not permitted to take part in the 2nd test period, after the demonstration.



3.0 CHILD PROTOCOL TESTING CONT'D

The pump's child resistant feature (trigger release button) was considered a failure if the child was able to get the water to flow out of the container.

At the conclusion of testing, the tester thanked the children for helping and told them that they should never try to open containers like this in the absence of an adult and that this type of container might have something in it that could make them sick. The children's teacher then escorted the children back to their regular classroom.

4.0 RESULTS OF CHILD PROTOCOL TESTING

TABLE 1: First 5 min Test Period (Before Demonstration)


Age Groups	# Openings Males	# Openings Females	Total # Openings Males and Females	Mean Opening Time (seconds)	Standard Deviation (seconds)	Child Resistant Effectiveness
51 to 49 Months	1	0	0	50	NA	98%
48 to 45 Months	0	0	0	NA	NA	
44 to 42 Months	0	0	0	NA	NA	

TABLE 2: Second 5 min Test Period (After Demonstration)

Age Groups	# Openings Males	# Openings Females	Total # Openings Males and Females	Mean Opening Time (seconds)	Standard Deviation (seconds)	Child Resistant Effectiveness
51 to 49 Months	0	0	0	NA	NA	100%
48 to 45 Months	0	0	0	NA	NA	
44 to 42 Months	0	0	0	NA	NA	

TABLE 3: Containers Tested

# of Sites	# of Testers	Total # Containers Tested	# Containers Tested / Site	# Containers Tested / Tester	% of Total Containers Tested / Tester	% of Total Containers Tested / Site
5	5	50	10	10	20%	20%



4.0 RESULTS OF CHILD PROTOCOL TESTING (CONT'D)

TABLE 4: OPENING METHODS

Opening Method	# Children who Used Method 1 st 5 Minute Test Period	# Children who Used Method 2 nd 5 Minute Test Period
Squeezing trigger	36	43
Pushing down on trigger	14	8
Pressing trigger release button	11	26
Shaking	9	2
Pointing nozzle end in downward position & waiting for water to come out	7	2
Putting finger inside nozzle	1	1
Banging nozzle end	3	0

CONCLUSION:

The child-resistant feature (trigger release button) on the “Max Flo” pump was 98% effective for the children tested between the ages of 42 to 51 months before the demonstration and 100% effective after the demonstration. Therefore, the trigger release button on the “Max Flo” pump **passes** the acceptance criteria for the Children’s Protocol Testing as per ASTM F2517-09, Standard Specification for Determination of Child Resistance of Portable Fuel Containers for Consumer Use.